# **Trinity Basin Preparatory**

# Ledbetter

**2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan** 



# **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	
School Processes & Programs	
Priority Problem Statements	
Goals	
Goal 1: Strengthening Classroom Management while Implementing and Enforcing a School Wide Discipline Plan	
Goal 2: Strategic Approach to Effective Teaching and Learning	
Goal 3: Support and Implementation of District Initiative Literacy for Life 4.0	2

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

# **School Processes & Programs**

#### **School Processes & Programs Summary**

We align our actions to the district mission, vision, and expectations. This school year we will focus on our instructional processes: unit/lesson planning, PLCs, data analysis, observation and feedback cycles.

# **Priority Problem Statements**

# Goals

Goal 1: Strengthening Classroom Management while Implementing and Enforcing a School Wide Discipline Plan

**Performance Objective 1:** Decrease overall discipline infractions and student referrals by 10%.

#### Revisions:

- -Utilize the Ledbetter Leaders student behavior expectations matrix.
- -Set PD/PLC dates for Ledbetter

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Skyward Student Discipline Referrals

Discipline Committee Meeting Agendas

Strategy 1 Details				
Strategy 1: Emotional Literacy		Formative		Summative
* Restorative Practices * Conscious Discipline	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Review and Train Teachers on School-Wide Rules Regularly Convene with Discipline Committee Implement Ledbetter Leader Strategies for Common Areas				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor, Committee Members, Team Leads				
Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: School-Wide Rules for Common Areas		Formative Su			
* Ledbetter Leader strategies  * School-Wide Procedures	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Regularly Convene with Discipline Committee Implement Ledbetter Leader Strategies for Common Areas Support Positive Behaviors and Interactions with staff and students Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor, Committee Members, Team Leads  Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 3: Discipline Committee		Formative		Summative	
** Provides recommended strategies for behavior intervention  * Committee members are assigned to mentor new staff members	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Regularly Convene with Discipline Committee Implement Ledbetter Leader Strategies for Common Areas Support Positive Behaviors and Interactions with staff and students Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor, Committee Members, Team Leads					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	I		

Goal 1: Strengthening Classroom Management while Implementing and Enforcing a School Wide Discipline Plan

**Performance Objective 2:** Establish and implement an effective school-wide discipline plan.

#### Revisions:

- -Utilize the Ledbetter Leaders student behavior expectations matrix.
- -Draft Classroom Management Cheat Sheet
- -Set dates in PD calendar and PLC cycle to keep topics at hand

Evaluation Data Sources: Discipline Committee Meeting Agendas

**T-TESS Evaluations** 

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews  Formative S  Nov Jan Mar			
Strategy 1: Emotional Literacy		Formative		Summative	
*Campus wide Discipline  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Review and Train Teachers on School-Wide Rules Regularly Convene with Discipline Committee Implement Ledbetter Leaders Strategies for Common Areas Support Positive Behaviors and Interactions with staff and students  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor, Committee Members, and Team Leads  Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	Nov	1	Mar	June	
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue			

#### Goal 1: Strengthening Classroom Management while Implementing and Enforcing a School Wide Discipline Plan

**Performance Objective 3:** Form a campus level Discipline Committee that will convene to review discipline data and determine appropriate interventions.

#### Revisions:

- -Really define Common Area Expectations and Procedures utilizing the Ledbetter Leader Matrix.
- -Track points for Golden Tickets.
- -Set dates in PD calendar and PLC cycle.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Skyward Student Discipline Reports Discipline Committee Meeting Agendas Campus Professional Development Calendar

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews Formative Su		
Strategy 1: Discipline Committee		Formative		
* Provides recommended strategies for behavior intervention  * Committee members are assigned to assist first year teachers	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Regularly Convene with Discipline Committee Implement Ledbetter Leader Strategies for Common Areas Support Positive Behaviors and Interactions with staff and students Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor, Committee Members, Team Leads				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	l tinue		

Goal 1: Strengthening Classroom Management while Implementing and Enforcing a School Wide Discipline Plan

**Performance Objective 4:** Enforce campus wide behavior expectations.

Revisions:

- -Really define Common Area Expectations and Procedures
- -Set dates in PD calendar and PLC cycle to keep topics at hand

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Skyward Discipline Reports

Student Code of Conduct

Discipline Matrix

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews  Formative S  Nov Jan Mar		
Strategy 1: School-Wide Rules for Common Areas		Formative		Summative
* Ledbetter Leaders Matrix	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Review and Train Teachers on School-Wide Rules				
Regularly Convene with Discipline Committee				
Support Positive Behaviors and Interactions with staff and students Golden Ticket				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor, Committee Members, Team Leads				
Title I:				
2.5				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	•	

## Performance Objective 1: Reinforce TNTP Coaching Strategies

-Match STAAR data goals with district expectations.

Evaluation Data Sources: Classroom Walk-Through Observation Tool

**T-TESS Evaluations** 

Campus Professional Development Calendar

PLC Calendar

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews Formative S  Nov Jan Mar		
Strategy 1: High Quality Tier 1 Instructional Practices				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide and train teachers on instructional routines and expectations for: -Guided Reading -Small Group Instruction	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Provide training and set clear expectations for high quality instruction.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coaches  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Highly Functioning PLC Groups		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide and train teachers on instructional routines and expectations for: -Guided Reading -Small Group Instruction	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal and Instructional Coaches				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Data Driven Instruction		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide and train teachers on instructional routines and expectations for: -Guided Reading -Small Group Instruction -Provide training and set clear expectations for high quality instruction. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coaches  Title I:	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
<ul> <li>2.4, 2.5, 2.6</li> <li>TEA Priorities:</li> <li>Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools</li> <li>ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction</li> </ul>				

Strategy 4 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 4: Implement Effective Observation and Feedback Cycle	Formative			Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide and train teachers on instructional routines and expectations for: -Guided Reading -Small Group Instruction	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Provide training and set clear expectations for high quality instruction.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal and Instructional Coaches					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue			

Performance Objective 2: Establish strong Professional Learning Communities focused on quality instruction.

#### Revisions:

- -Match STAAR Goals to district expectations.
- \*\*SPED focus- CAP or TPESS goal for 20-22

**Evaluation Data Sources:** PLC Calendar Campus Professional Development Calendar Classroom Walk-Through Observation Tool T-TESS Evaluations

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews Formative Su Nov Jan Mar		
Strategy 1: High Quality Tier 1 Instructional Practices		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide and train teachers on instructional routines and expectations for: -Guided Reading -Small Group Instruction	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coaches				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: Highly Functioning PLC Groups		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide and train teachers on instructional routines and expectations for: -Guided Reading -Small Group Instruction -Provide training and set clear expectations for high quality instruction.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coaches  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 3: Data Driven Instruction		Formative	10113	Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide and train teachers on instructional routines and expectations for: -Guided Reading -Small Group Instruction Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal and Instructional Coaches  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	

Strategy 4 Details		Reviews Formative Nov Jan Mar		
Strategy 4: Implement Effective Observation and Feedback Cycle		Formative		Summative
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Provide and train teachers on instructional routines and expectations for: -Guided Reading -Small Group Instruction	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coaches				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

**Performance Objective 3:** Utilize assessment data to develop strategic intervention plans to ensure student mastery.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Eduphoria Aware

Accelerated Instruction Block

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: High Quality Tier 1 Instructional Practices		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide and train teachers on instructional routines and expectations for: -Guided Reading -Small Group Instruction	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coaches				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2 Details Strategy 2: Highly Functioning PLC Groups		Rev Formative	iews	Summative
	Nov		iews Mar	Summative June

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews			
trategy 3: Data Driven Instruction		Formative		Summativ	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Provide and train teachers on instructional routines and expectations for: -Guided Reading -Small Group Instruction	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coaches					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	•	
trategy 4: Implement Effective Observation and Feedback Cycle		Formative		Summativ	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Provide and train teachers on instructional routines and expectations for: -Guided Reading -Small Group Instruction	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coaches					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					

**Performance Objective 4:** Develop and Implement a continuous Observation and Feedback cycle to improve teacher effectiveness.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Classroom Walk-Through Observation Tool

**T-TESS Evaluations** 

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: High Quality Tier 1 Instructional Practices		Formative		Summative
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Provide and train teachers on instructional routines and expectations for: -Guided Reading -Small Group Instruction	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coach				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2 Details  Strategy 2: Highly Functioning PLC Groups		Rev Formative	iews	Summative
Strategy 2: Highly Functioning PLC Groups Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide and train teachers on instructional routines and expectations for: -Guided Reading -Small Group Instruction	Nov		iews Mar	Summative June
Strategy 2: Highly Functioning PLC Groups Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide and train teachers on instructional routines and expectations for: -Guided Reading	Nov	Formative	T	

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Data Driven Instruction	Formative			Summative
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Provide and train teachers on instructional routines and expectations for: -Guided Reading -Small Group Instruction	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coaches				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 4: Implement Effective Observation and Feedback Cycle		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide and train teachers on instructional routines and expectations for: -Guided Reading -Small Group Instruction Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coaches	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

**Performance Objective 5:** The percent of 3rd grade students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Math will increase by 15%.

The percent of 3rd grade students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading will increase by 15%.

The percent of PreK students that score on grade level or above in Reading Overall Measure on the CLI Engage will increase from baseline of 27% to 55% by June 2024.

The percent of PreK students that score on grade level or above in Math Overall Measure on the CIRCLE/CLI will increase from 69% to 80% by June 2024.

**Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR Results** 

Test Results

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: High Quality Tier 1 Instructional Practices	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide and train teachers on instructional routines and expectations for:	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
-Guided Reading				
-Small Group Instruction				
Provide training and set clear expectations for high quality instruction.				
-Teacher Presence (In-Person)				
Review data with purpose and intention after:				
-CBAs				
-Benchmarks				
Regularly observe classrooms and provide feedback that will impact instructional effectiveness				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal and Instructional Coaches				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Highly Functioning PLC Groups		Formative S		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide and train teachers on instructional routines and expectations for: -Guided Reading -Small Group Instruction Provide training and set clear expectations for high quality instruction.  -Teacher Presence (In-Person) Review data with purpose and intention after: -CBAs -Benchmarks Regularly observe classrooms and provide feedback that will impact instructional effectiveness Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coaches  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Nov	Jan	Mar	June June
<ul> <li>TEA Priorities:</li> <li>Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools</li> <li>ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction</li> </ul>				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Data Driven Instruction		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide and train teachers on instructional routines and expectations for: -Guided Reading -Small Group Instruction Provide training and set clear expectations for high quality instruction.	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Review data with purpose and intention after: -CBAs -Benchmarks Regularly observe classrooms and provide feedback that will impact instructional effectiveness Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coaches				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 4: Implement Effective Observation and Feedback Cycle		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Provide and train teachers on instructional routines and expectations for: -Guided Reading -Small Group Instruction Provide training and set clear expectations for high quality instruction. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal and Instructional Coaches	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue		1

**Performance Objective 1:** 80% of Kinder through 3rd Grade students will read on grade-level by the EOY assessment.

#### Revisions:

- -Add to PD calendar aligned to areas most deficient according to the data.
- -Guided Reading focus for K-3. Push on phonics PK-2.
- -Addition of Literacy Specialist
- -Instructional Aid to support guided reading groups.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** DRA Screeners (Beginning, Middle, and End of Year)

PLC Calendar

Campus Professional Development Calendar

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Small Group Instruction	Formative			Summative	
* Effective Guided Reading Groups Kinder - 2nd and * Effective Literacy Small Group Instruction 3rd-7th	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Share components and train staff on					
Shared Reading					
Guided Reading Writing					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coaches					
Title I:					
2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:					
Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	I.	<u>l</u>	

**Performance Objective 2:** The percent of 3rd grade students that score Meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading will increase from baseline of 34% to 50% by June 2024.

6th Grade Reading will increase from 43% to 60% Approaches on the 2024 STAAR administration.

#### Revisions:

- -Keep STAAR Goals for 3rd, and 6th. Match STAAR percentages with district expectations.
- -Add to PD calendar aligned to areas most deficient according to the data.
- -Guided Reading focus for K-3. Push on phonics PK-2.
- -Addition of Literacy Specialist
- -Instructional Aid to support guided reading groups.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** STAAR Results Eduphoria Aware Campus Professional Development Calendar PLC Calendar

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Shared Reading	Formative			Summative
* Targeted and specific Read-Aloud strategies to impact student comprehension for Kinder-2nd and 3rd-7th  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Share components and train staff  -Review  -Intense Focus on: Shared Reading Guided Reading Writing Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coaches  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  - TEA Priorities:	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

**Performance Objective 3:** 7th grade students will score 70% or higher on the 2024 STAAR Writing administration.

#### Revisions:

- -Keep STAAR Goals for 3rd, and 6th. Match STAAR percentages with district expectations.
- -Add to PD calendar aligned to areas most deficient according to the data.
- -Guided Reading focus for K-3. Push on phonics PK-2.
- -Addition of Literacy Specialist
- -Instructional Aid to support guided reading groups.

**Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR Results** 

Eduphoria Aware

Campus Professional Development Calendar

PLC Calendar

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Writing		Formative		
* Focused and Intentional Writing Block with emphasis on 4th and 7th	Nov	Jan	Mar	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Share components and train staff on				
Shared Reading				
Guided Reading				
Writing				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, and Instructional Coaches				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	l ntinue		

**Performance Objective 4:** 4th. 5th, and 7th grade students will score 70% or higher on the 2024 STAAR Reading administration.

#### Revisions:

- -Keep STAAR Goals for 3rd, and 6th. Match STAAR percentages with district expectations.
- -Add to PD calendar aligned to areas most deficient according to the data.
- -Guided Reading focus for K-3. Push on phonics PK-2.
- -Addition of Literacy Specialist
- -Instructional Aid to support guided reading groups.

**Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR Results** 

Eduphoria Aware

Campus Professional Development Calendar

PLC Calendar

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Small Group Instruction	Formative			Summative	
* Effective Guided Reading Groups Kinder - 2nd and  * Effective Literacy Small Group Instruction 3rd-7th  - Targeted Accelerated Instruction Plan	Nov	Jan	Mar	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Share components and train staff on  Shared Reading Guided Reading Writing Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Coaches					
Title I: 2.5, 2.6  - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue			